## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

United States of America	)
v.	)
JIMIE HENDRIX CERVANTES,	) Case No. 4:11CR3100
Defendant	)
DETENTION OR	DED DENDING TOLLI
DETENTION ORI	DER PENDING TRIAL
After conducting a detention hearing under the Barequire that the defendant be detained pending trial.	ail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts
Part I—F	indings of Fact
	d in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted
of $\square$ a federal offense $\square$ a state or	local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal
jurisdiction had existed - that is	
□ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. for which the prison term is 10 years or mo	§ 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) ore.
☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence	ee is death or life imprisonment.
☐ an offense for which a maximum prison ten	rm of ten years or more is prescribed in
	.*
a felony committed after the defendant had described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C)	been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses ), or comparable state or local offenses:
☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence b	ut involves:
□ a minor victim	
☐ the possession or use of a firearm or de	estructive device or any other dangerous weapon
□ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. §	2250
☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was comm federal, state release or local offense.	itted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a
☐ (3) A period of less than five years has elapsed since	ce the \( \Boxed \) date of conviction \( \Boxed \) the defendant's release
from prison for the offense described in finding	(1).
	le presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
Alternativ	ve Findings (A)
$\Box$ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defe	endant has committed an offense
☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten yo	ears or more is prescribed in .
□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
☐ (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption the defendant's appearance and the safety of the	established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure e community.

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

Alternati	ive Fin	dings	<b>(B)</b>
-----------	---------	-------	------------

		3 ( )
X (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendan	t will not appear.
X (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendan	t will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
	Part II— State	ment of the Reasons for Detention
I	find that the testimony and information so	abmitted at the detention hearing establishes by X clear and
convincin	ng evidence □ a preponderance of the	evidence that
the defen hearing v	÷	o the public and the risk of defendant's failure to appear. Detention
	Part III—D	irections Regarding Detention
in a corre pending a order of U	ections facility separate, to the extent pracappeal. The defendant must be afforded a	of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement ticable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. Or rney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility rshal for a court appearance.
Date:	September 30, 2011	s/Cheryl R. Zwart
		United States Magistrate Judge